

**Code Administrator Consultation Response Proforma****CMP308: Removal of BSUoS charges from Generation**

Industry parties are invited to respond to this consultation expressing their views and supplying the rationale for those views, particularly in respect of any specific questions detailed below.

Please send your responses to [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com) by **5pm on 31 August 2021**. Please note that any responses received after the deadline or sent to a different email address may not receive due consideration.

If you have any queries on the content of this consultation, please contact Joseph Henry at [joseph.henry2@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:joseph.henry2@nationalgrideso.com) or [cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com](mailto:cusc.team@nationalgrideso.com)

Respondent details	Please enter your details
<b>Respondent name:</b>	Paul Jones
<b>Company name:</b>	Uniper UK Ltd
<b>Email address:</b>	paul.jones@uniper.energy
<b>Phone number:</b>	07771 975 782

**I wish my response to be:**

(Please mark the relevant box)

☒ Non-Confidential☐ Confidential

*Note: A confidential response will be disclosed to the Authority in full but, unless agreed otherwise, will not be shared with the Panel or the industry and may therefore not influence the debate to the same extent as a non-confidential response.*

**For reference the Applicable CUSC (charging) Objectives are:**

- a. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology facilitates effective competition in the generation and supply of electricity and (so far as is consistent therewith) facilitates competition in the sale, distribution and purchase of electricity;
- b. That compliance with the use of system charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable, the costs (excluding any payments between transmission licensees which are made under and accordance with the STC) incurred by transmission licensees in their transmission businesses and which are compatible with standard licence condition C26 requirements of a connect and manage connection);
- c. That, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a) and (b), the use of system charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of the developments in transmission licensees' transmission businesses;
- d. Compliance with the Electricity Regulation and any relevant legally binding decision of the European Commission and/or the Agency \*; and
- e. Promoting efficiency in the implementation and administration of the system charging methodology.

Please express your views in the right-hand side of the table below, including your rationale.

Standard Code Administrator Consultation questions		
1	Do you believe that the CMP308 Original Proposal better facilitates the Applicable Objectives?	Yes.
2	Do you support the proposed implementation approach?	Removes the existing charging distortion which results from transmission connected generation paying BSUoS, whilst distributed generation/storage and cross border trades do not. This improves competition in the wholesale market. It is also more efficient to recover balancing costs from customers directly via their supplier, rather than via a more convoluted route via generators (which has to in turn go through energy and balancing markets, to suppliers and onto customers). Ofgem's cost benefit analysis suggests that this change will be of benefit overall to consumers with a positive NPV. The benefits should be even greater if fixed price BSUoS proposals are implemented too.
3	Do you have any other comments?	No thank you.